

1 Dawn McCraw, WA # 54543
2 8245 N. 85th Way
3 Scottsdale, AZ 85258
4 T: (818) 600-5585
5 F: (818) 600-5485
6 E: dawn@pricelawgroup.com
7 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
RICHLAND DIVISION**

JD BENNETT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TRANS UNION, LLC; EXPERIAN
INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.;
WEBBANK, and BLST HOLDING
COMPANY, LLC d/b/a FINGERHUT,

Defendants.

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND
FOR JURY TRIAL**

FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681

Plaintiff, JD Bennett (“Plaintiff” or “Mr. Bennett”), by and through the undersigned counsel, brings this Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial (“Complaint”) against Experian Information Solutions, Inc. (“Experian”), Trans Union, LLC (“Trans Union”), WebBank, and BLST Holding Company, LLC d/b/a Fingerhut (“Fingerhut”), together as “Defendants,” alleging violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1
2 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. §
3 1681, *et seq.*
4

5 2. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part
6 of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

7 3. Defendants regularly transact business within the District. Defendants
8 regularly direct business in the District. Defendants voluntarily and purposefully avail
9 themselves of the protections of the District, such that personal jurisdiction is established.
10

PARTIES

11
12 4. Plaintiff, JD Bennett, is a natural person who resides in Benton County,
13 Washington. Plaintiff is a “consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
14

15 5. Experian and Trans Union regularly compile and distribute consumer credit
16 information in exchange for monetary compensation. Therefore, Experian and Trans Union
17 are “consumer reporting agenc[ies]” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
18

19 6. Experian maintains a principal place of business located at 475 Anton
20 Boulevard, Cost Mesa, California 92626. Experian may be served at its principal place of
21 business.

22 7. Trans Union maintains a principal place of business located at 555 West
23 Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60661. Trans Union may be served through its Registered
24 Agent, c/o Prentice Hall Corporation, located at 801 Adlai Stevenson Drive, Springfield,
25 Illinois 36106.
26

27 8. WebBank issues the lines of credit for Fingerhut credit accounts.
28

1 9. WebBank and Fingerhut regularly furnish consumer credit information to
2 credit reporting agencies. Therefore, WebBank and Fingerhut are “furnishers” of consumer
3 credit information as that term is contemplated in 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.
4

5 10. WebBank maintains a principal place of business located at 215 South State
6 Street, Suite 1000, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. WebBank may be served through its
7 Registered Agent, c/o Corporation Service Company, located at 15 West South Temple,
8 Suite 600, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101.
9

10 11. Fingerhut maintains a principal place of business located at 6250 Ridgewood
11 Road, St. Cloud, Minnesota 56303. Fingerhut may be served at its principal place of
12 business.
13

14 12. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants acted through their
15 agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals,
16 trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.
17

18 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**
19

20 13. On or about May 1, 2022, Plaintiff received an alert from Experian.
21

22 14. The Experian alert indicated that WebBank/Fingerhut furnished information
23 to Experian indicating that Plaintiff was deceased.
24

25 15. On or about May 1, 2022, Plaintiff reviewed his Experian consumer report.
26

27 16. Plaintiff observed that Experian reported a deceased notation in two
28 tradelines.

1 17. Specifically, Experian reported “consumer reported as deceased” in the
2 “payment status” field of his Fetti Fingerhut/Webbank account (account number
3 636992XXXXXXXXXX, opened March 30, 2022) (“the March Account”) tradeline.
4

5 18. Experian also reported “deceased” in the “responsibility” field of the March
6 Account tradeline.

7 19. Additionally, Experian reported “consumer reported as deceased” in the
8 “payment status” field of his Webbank/Fingerhut account (account number
9 636992XXXXXXXXXX, opened January 27, 2022) (“the January Account”) tradeline.
10

11 20. Experian also reported “deceased” in the “responsibility” field of the January
12 Account.

13 21. Experian indicated that Plaintiff “may not have enough credit history for
14 [Experian] to generate [his] FICO Score.”
15

16 22. Upon information and belief, Experian was unable to calculate Plaintiff’s
17 Experian FICO Score because Experian was reporting that Plaintiff was deceased.
18

19 23. On or about May 1, 2022, Plaintiff called Experian to dispute the deceased
20 reporting.

21 24. Upon information and belief, Experian informed Plaintiff that the deceased
22 reporting came from Fingerhut.

23 25. On or about May 2, 2022, Plaintiff called Fingerhut.
24

25 26. Upon information and belief, Fingerhut informed Plaintiff that it began
26 reporting Plaintiff as deceased on April 12, 2022.
27
28

1 27. Upon information and belief, Fingerhut informed Plaintiff that it would call
2 him back on May 3, 2022, with an explanation.

3 28. Plaintiff did not receive a phone call from Fingerhut.

4 29. On or about May 2, 2022, Plaintiff went to his local Social Security
5 Administration (“SSA”) office.

6 30. Plaintiff learned that he was listed as “deceased” in the SSA’s records.

7 31. The SSA assured Plaintiff that the records would be corrected within twenty-
8 four hours.
9

10 32. On or about May 3, 2022, Plaintiff requested copies of his Experian, Equifax,
11 and Trans Union consumer reports by mail.
12

13 33. Plaintiff wanted to make sure that his consumer reports were corrected.

14 34. Upon information and belief, Experian, Equifax, and Trans Union all
15 received notice of Plaintiff’s request.
16

17 35. However, Plaintiff did not receive copies of his Experian, Equifax, or Trans
18 Union consumer reports in response to his request.
19

20 36. On or about May 3, 2022, Fingerhut sent the “Estate of JD Bennett” a letter.

21 37. The letter indicated that Fingerhut

22 completed [its] review of the benefit application filed under the
23 SafeLine Account Protection Plus Plan and your request has
24 been approved. However, the Statement Ending Balance on the
25 statement as of the date of death is zero; therefore, no benefit
26 amount is due under the Terms and Conditions of the SafeLine
27 Account...
28

1 38. Plaintiff was very confused as he did not file a “benefit application” with
2 Fingerhut.

3 39. Upon information and belief, Fingerhut initiated a “benefit application” on
4 Plaintiff’s behalf after receiving his phone call on or about May 2, 2022.
5

6 40. On or about May 4, 2022, Fingerhut sent “JD Bennett” a letter.

7 41. The letter indicated that the Social Security Administration (“SSA”) verified
8 that Plaintiff was deceased.
9

10 42. Plaintiff received the letter in or around the second week of May 2022.

11 43. Plaintiff was confused by the letter; the SSA assured him that its records
12 would be updated by the end of the day on May 3, 2022.
13

14 44. Plaintiff then contacted the SSA and confirmed that the SSA’s records had
15 been corrected.

16 45. On or about May 19, 2022, Plaintiff received a letter from the Social Security
17 Administration (“SSA”).
18

19 46. The letter indicated that the SSA’s records wrongly indicated that Plaintiff
20 was deceased.

21 47. The letter also indicated that one of the reasons this may have happened is
22 because the SSA collects information from many sources, including financial institutions.
23

24 48. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut furnished information
25 to the SSA indicating that Plaintiff was “deceased.”

26 49. Upon information and belief, the SSA’s records showed that Plaintiff was
27 deceased because of the information furnished by WebBank and Fingerhut.
28

1 50. Upon information and belief, had WebBank and Fingerhut not furnished
2 information to the SSA indicating that Plaintiff was “deceased,” Plaintiff would not have
3 been marked as “deceased” in the SSA’s records.
4

5 51. On or about May 23, 2022, Experian sent Plaintiff an email.

6 52. The email indicated that Experian was unable to honor Plaintiff’s request for
7 a copy of Plaintiff’s Experian consumer report.
8

9 53. Experian indicated that it was unable to honor Plaintiff’s request because it
10 was unable to verify Plaintiff’s identity.

11 54. Upon information and belief, Experian was unable to verify Plaintiff’s
12 identity because Experian was reporting Plaintiff as “deceased.”
13

14 55. In or around late May 2022, Plaintiff applied for a personal loan through non-
15 party Upgrade, Inc. (“Upgrade”).

16 56. Plaintiff applied for the personal loan because he received a notification
17 informing him that he had “good odds” of being approved.
18

19 57. Plaintiff also hoped to use the personal loan to pay off some of his bills.

20 58. Upon information and belief, Upgrade requested Plaintiff’s consumer report
21 and information from both Trans Union and Experian.

22 59. Upon information and belief, both Trans Union and Experian were reporting
23 Plaintiff as “deceased” at the time.
24

25 60. Upon information and belief, both Trans Union and Experian provided
26 information to Upgrade indicating that Plaintiff was deceased, had no credit score, and/or
27 that Plaintiff had no credit file.
28

1 61. On or about May 31, 2022, Upgrade sent Plaintiff a letter denying him for
2 the personal loan.

3 62. The letter indicated that Plaintiff was denied because Upgrade was “unable
4 to find credit profile at bureau.”

5 63. Upon information and belief, Upgrade was “unable to find” Plaintiff’s credit
6 profile because Trans Union and Experian were reporting that Plaintiff was deceased, had
7 no credit score, and/or that Plaintiff had no credit file.
8

9 64. On or about June 3, 2022, Upgrade sent Plaintiff an email and again informed
10 Plaintiff that he was denied for the personal loan.
11

12 65. Upon information and belief, Upgrade denied Plaintiff because both Trans
13 Union and Experian were reporting him as “deceased.”
14

15 66. Upon information and belief, had Trans Union and Experian not reported
16 Plaintiff as deceased, Plaintiff would have been more likely to be approved for the personal
17 loan with Upgrade.

18 67. On or about June 6, 2022, Plaintiff reviewed his Experian consumer report
19 again.
20

21 68. Plaintiff was confused by Experian’s reporting.

22 69. Experian removed the deceased notation from the January Account tradeline.

23 70. Upon information and belief, Experian removed the deceased notation from
24 the January Account tradeline in response to Plaintiff’s dispute.
25

26 71. However, upon information and belief, Experian did not remove the
27 deceased notation from the March Account tradeline.
28

1 72. Experian continued to report the deceased notation in the March Account
2 tradeline.

3 73. It is unclear why Experian removed the deceased notation from one
4 Fingerhut tradeline and not the other after receiving Plaintiff's dispute.
5

6 74. In the alternative, upon information and belief, Experian allowed the
7 deceased notation to reappear in the March Account tradeline after removing it in response
8 to Plaintiff's dispute on or about May 1, 2022.
9

10 75. On or about June 6, 2022, Plaintiff tried to call Experian again.

11 76. Each time Plaintiff called and typed in his social security number, Experian's
12 automated phone system hung up.
13

14 77. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was unable to get through to Experian
15 because Experian was still reporting that Plaintiff was deceased.

16 78. On or about June 9, 2022, Plaintiff attempted to view his Equifax and Trans
17 Union consumer reports.
18

19 79. Plaintiff was able to get a copy of his Equifax consumer report.

20 80. Non-party Equifax was not reporting Plaintiff as "deceased."

21 81. Plaintiff was unable to get a copy of his Trans Union consumer report.

22 82. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was unable to get a copy of his Trans
23 Union consumer report because Trans Union was reporting that Plaintiff was deceased.
24

25 83. Upon information and belief, as of the filing of this Complaint, Experian and
26 Trans Union are still reporting Plaintiff as deceased.
27
28

1 84. Upon information and belief, after receiving Plaintiff's dispute, Experian
2 forwarded Plaintiff's dispute to WebBank and Fingerhut.

3 85. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut received notice of
4 Plaintiff's dispute from Experian.

5 86. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut failed to conduct a
6 reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's dispute.

7 87. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut failed to recognize
8 that they had each inaccurately informed the consumer reporting agencies that Plaintiff was
9 deceased.

10 88. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut continued to
11 inaccurately report that Plaintiff was deceased each time it sent updates to the consumer
12 reporting agencies.

13 89. Consequently, the consumer reporting agencies continued to report varying
14 information.

15 90. After allegedly "investigating" Plaintiff's dispute, Experian continued to
16 report that Plaintiff was deceased.

17 91. WebBank and Fingerhut knew that Experian and Trans Union were reporting
18 that Plaintiff was deceased but failed to update and correct the reporting.

19 92. Instead, WebBank and Fingerhut continued to report inaccurate information
20 to the consumer reporting agencies indicating that Plaintiff was deceased.

21 93. Upon information and belief, non-party Equifax completely suppressed the
22 continued inaccurate reporting by WebBank and Fingerhut.

1 94. Upon information and belief, Experian failed to suppress the continued
2 inaccurate reporting furnished by WebBank and Fingerhut, even after receiving Plaintiff's
3 dispute.
4

5 95. It is unclear why Experian continued to report Plaintiff as deceased in the
6 March Account tradeline after receiving Plaintiff's dispute.

7 96. Upon information and belief, Experian has been sued by other consumers in
8 the past who have alleged its dispute procedures were unreasonable and violative of the
9 FCRA.
10

11 97. Therefore, Experian had notice as to its deficient procedures.

12 98. Upon information and belief, Experian and Trans Union failed to maintain
13 reasonable procedures to suppress the repeatedly inaccurate information furnished by
14 WebBank and Fingerhut, despite being on notice that the information was inaccurate.
15

16 99. Upon information and belief, neither Experian nor Trans Union received
17 information directly from the Social Security Administration that indicated Plaintiff was
18 deceased.
19

20 100. Rather, Experian and Trans Union "learned" that Plaintiff was "deceased"
21 from the furnisher(s), WebBank and Fingerhut.

22 101. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut furnished information
23 to the consumer reporting agencies beginning in or around April 2022 that indicated
24 Plaintiff was "deceased."
25
26
27
28

1 102. Simultaneously, Experian and Trans Union were receiving information from
2 other data furnishers that indicated Plaintiff was applying for credit, requesting copies of
3 his consumer reports, using accounts, and making monthly payments.
4

5 103. Upon information and belief, Experian and Trans Union knew or had reason
6 to know WebBank and Fingerhut are unreliable furnishers of consumer information.
7

8 104. Upon information and belief, Experian and Trans Union blindly reported the
9 information furnished by WebBank and Fingerhut without regard for its unreliability.
10

11 105. Upon information and belief, Experian and Trans Union inaccurately
12 reported that Plaintiff was deceased while simultaneously receiving information that
13 directly conflicted with Plaintiff being deceased.
14

15 106. Upon information and belief, for at least two months after inaccurately
16 reporting that Plaintiff was “deceased,” Trans Union continued to report Plaintiff’s ongoing
17 transactions.
18

19 107. For at least two months after inaccurately reporting that Plaintiff was
20 “deceased,” Experian continued to report Plaintiff’s ongoing transactions.
21

22 108. Typically, deceased persons do not apply for credit, request copies of their
23 consumer reports, use accounts, or make monthly payments (in the absence of fraud).
24

25 109. Upon information and belief, Experian and Trans Union also reported that
26 Plaintiff does not have a credit score.
27

28 110. Upon information and belief, a consumer that does not have a credit score
indicates that a consumer has no credit history and/or the credit score is incalculable.

1 111. Plaintiff has more than ten open accounts on his consumer reports, many of
2 which are positive.

3 112. A person with at least ten credit accounts undeniably has enough credit
4 history to calculate a credit score.
5

6 113. Upon information and belief, the inaccurate deceased notations in the
7 Fingerhut tradelines are why Experian and Trans Union reported that Plaintiff did not have
8 a credit score.
9

10 114. Therefore, Plaintiff's ongoing credit transactions and incalculable credit
11 score gave Experian and Trans Union constructive notice that Plaintiff was not "deceased,"
12 and the information furnished by WebBank, and Fingerhut was inaccurate.
13

14 115. Experian's inaccurate reporting is particularly egregious because it had
15 actual notice from Plaintiff that he was not deceased.

16 116. Plaintiff initiated a dispute of the "deceased" notation with Experian on or
17 about May 1, 2022.
18

19 117. But despite having notice of Plaintiff's aliveness and reporting his ongoing
20 credit transactions, Experian and Trans Union continued to sell information to third parties
21 that indicated Plaintiff was "deceased."

22 118. Upon information and belief, a deceased person does not have a consumer
23 report that is accessible to creditors.
24

25 119. Upon information and belief, a deceased notation on a consumer report
26 indicates a high risk of fraud and is scored as a high risk of fraud.
27
28

1 120. Upon information and belief, Experian and Trans Union continued to report
2 Plaintiff as “deceased” without flagging Plaintiff’s credit file for fraud or identity theft
3 when third parties requested his credit file.
4

5 121. Upon information and belief, Experian and Trans Union sold Plaintiff’s
6 consumer credit information to third parties while reporting Plaintiff as deceased and/or
7 that Plaintiff had no credit score.
8

9 122. Upon information and belief, Experian sold Plaintiff’s consumer credit
10 information to one or more third parties, including but not limited to: Upgrade, One Main,
11 Wells Fargo, Credit One Bank, Capital One/Kohl’s, Synchrony Financial, and Clarity Plain
12 Green.
13

14 123. Upon information and belief, Trans Union sold Plaintiff’s consumer credit
15 information to one or more third parties, including but not limited to: Upgrade.
16

17 124. As a direct result of Defendants’ conduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual
18 damages, including but not limited to: stress, anxiety, financial strain, mental anguish,
19 sleepless nights, emotional distress, embarrassment, wasted time, invasion of privacy,
20 decreased creditworthiness, credit denial, and other damages continuing in nature.
21

22 125. As a direct result of Defendants’ conduct, Plaintiff was denied for a personal
23 loan through Upgrade.
24

25 126. As a direct result of Defendants’ conduct, Plaintiff has involuntarily removed
26 himself from the credit market, out of sheer fear that he will be denied due to the inaccurate
27 deceased notation.
28

1 127. As a direct result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff is unable to acquire a
2 personal loan to assist with consolidating his bills and paying them off.

3 128. As a direct result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff's time has been wasted
4 disputing, contacting the Defendants, and communicating with the SSA.

5 129. Plaintiff is frustrated, embarrassed, and humiliated due to Defendants'
6 conduct.

7 130. As a direct result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffers from decreased
8 creditworthiness.

9 131. Defendants' conduct has caused Plaintiff significant stress and frustration.

10 132. Plaintiff has difficulty falling asleep and suffers from sleepless nights as a
11 result of Defendants' conduct.

12 133. Defendants' conduct has also caused Plaintiff to suffer from high blood
13 pressure.

14 134. Plaintiff is also afraid that Defendants' conduct and the deceased notation
15 will cause Plaintiff to lose out on a job he recently applied for with the United States Postal
16 Service.

17 135. Plaintiff and his fiancé have also had tension between them, and his fiancé
18 has threatened to leave him due to the Defendants' conduct.

19 136. Upon information and belief, Defendants' conduct also caused Plaintiff's
20 banks to freeze his bank accounts.

21 137. As a direct result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff worries that he will be
22 unable to financially support his family because of his inability to get credit; Plaintiff's
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 fiancé, eighteen-month-old daughter, and two stepsons (ages fourteen and seventeen) all
2 rely on him financially for support.

3
4 **COUNT I**

5 **WebBank and Fingerhut's Violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)**

6 138. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this
7 Complaint as though fully stated herein.

8 139. At all times pertinent hereto, WebBank and Fingerhut were "persons" as that
9 term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b) and "furnishers of information" to the consumer
10 reporting agencies, including Experian and Trans Union.

11 140. WebBank and Fingerhut have a duty to provide accurate information to
12 consumer reporting agencies, and to correct inaccurate information after receiving notice
13 of a dispute directly from a consumer, *see* 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a).

14 141. WebBank and Fingerhut failed to follow reasonable procedures to ensure
15 they only provided maximally accurate information about Plaintiff and Plaintiff's accounts
16 to the consumer reporting agencies.

17 142. On at least one occasion within the past two years, by example only and
18 without limitation, WebBank and Fingerhut also violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) by
19 failing to fully and properly investigate Plaintiff's dispute.

20 143. Upon receipt of a consumer dispute from a consumer reporting agency,
21 furnishers like WebBank and Fingerhut are required to: conduct an investigation with
22 respect to the disputed information; review all relevant information; report the results of
23 the investigation to the consumer reporting agency; and, if the investigation reveals that
24
25
26
27
28

1 the information is incomplete or inaccurate, to report those results to all other consumer
2 reporting agencies to which the furnisher has provided the inaccurate information.

3
4 144. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut received notice of
5 Plaintiff's dispute from Experian in or around May 2022.

6 145. After WebBank and Fingerhut were notified of the issues with the January
7 Account and March Account, WebBank and Fingerhut failed to properly investigate
8 Plaintiff's dispute.

9
10 146. Consequently, WebBank and Fingerhut failed to furnish maximally accurate
11 information regarding Plaintiff's Account to Experian and Trans Union.

12 147. Despite receiving and allegedly "investigating" Plaintiff's dispute, WebBank
13 and Fingerhut failed to recognize that they had inaccurately informed the CRAs that
14 Plaintiff was deceased.

15
16 148. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut also failed to send its
17 investigation results to the other consumer reporting agencies that had received inaccurate
18 or incomplete information about Plaintiff and Plaintiff's accounts.

19
20 149. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut's actions in the instant
21 matter are representative of their normal policies and procedures.

22 150. Upon information and belief, WebBank and Fingerhut's regular
23 investigation procedures do not require a reasonable review of a tradeline that is disputed
24 by a consumer. Rather, WebBank and Fingerhut's regular procedures allow it to respond
25 to disputes after conducting only a cursory review of the account(s) at issue.

26
27 151. The above-described conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).

1 152. WebBank and Fingerhut violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) in the following
2 manner:

3 i. By willfully and/or negligently failing to conduct an investigation of
4 the disputed information;

5 ii. By willfully and/or negligently failing to review all relevant
6 information concerning Plaintiff and Plaintiff's accounts;

7 iii. By willfully and/or negligently failing to report the results of its
8 investigation of the disputed information to all credit reporting agencies;

9 iv. By willfully and/or negligently failing to modify or delete incomplete
10 or inaccurate information in Plaintiff's file after conducting an investigation of
11 his dispute;

12 v. By willfully and/or negligently failing to modify or delete inaccurate
13 or incomplete information after conducting a reinvestigation of the
14 disputed information;

15 vi. By willfully and/or negligently failing to permanently block the
16 reporting of the inaccurate information and continuing to report and furnish
17 inaccurate or incomplete information about Plaintiff and Plaintiff's accounts to
18 consumer reporting agencies; and

19 vii. By willfully and/or negligently failing to comply with all
20 requirements imposed on "furnishers of information" by 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).

21 153. As a result of this conduct, action, and inaction of WebBank and Fingerhut,
22 Plaintiff has suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: stress, anxiety, financial
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 strain, mental anguish, sleepless nights, emotional distress, embarrassment, wasted time,
2 invasion of privacy, decreased creditworthiness, credit denial, and other damages
3 continuing in nature.
4

5 154. WebBank and Fingerhut's violations of the FCRA were both willful and
6 knowing. Therefore, WebBank and Fingerhut are each individually liable to Plaintiff for
7 actual, statutory, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial. 15
8 U.S.C. §§ 1681n, 1681o.
9

10 155. Alternatively, WebBank and Fingerhut's violations of the FCRA were
11 negligent. Therefore, WebBank and Fingerhut are each individually liable to Plaintiff for
12 statutory and actual damages. 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
13

14 156. In any event, WebBank and Fingerhut are each individually liable for
15 Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and costs. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n, 1681o.

16 **COUNT II**
17 **Experian and Trans Union's Violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b)**

18 157. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this
19 Complaint as though fully stated herein.

20 158. The FCRA requires consumer reporting agencies, like Experian and Trans
21 Union, to maintain reasonable procedures to ensure they compile and disburse consumer
22 credit information with maximal accuracy. 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).
23

24 159. Experian and Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to
25 establish, maintain, and/or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible
26
27
28

1 accuracy in the preparation and maintenance of Plaintiff's consumer report(s) and credit
2 files.

3
4 160. Experian and Trans Union failed to establish or maintain procedures that
5 would prevent them from reporting information contrary to their own knowledge and data.

6 161. Specifically, Experian and Trans Union failed to maintain procedures that
7 ensured they did not report Plaintiff as deceased while simultaneously updating his
8 consumer report(s) with information that indicated Plaintiff was alive (monthly payments,
9 credit applications, etc.).
10

11 162. If Experian and Trans Union did in fact believe that Plaintiff was deceased,
12 they unreasonably failed to maintain any procedure or policy that alerted them to ongoing
13 credit transactions allegedly associated with a deceased person's personal identifiers.
14

15 163. If Experian and Trans Union did in fact believe that Plaintiff was deceased,
16 they unreasonably failed to flag Plaintiff's credit file for fraud or identity theft when third
17 parties requested his credit file.
18

19 164. Additionally, Experian failed to maintain reasonable procedures to ensure
20 that it did not inaccurately report Plaintiff as "deceased" after receiving Plaintiff's dispute.

21 165. Instead, Experian continued to blindly rely on inaccurate information
22 provided by WebBank and Fingerhut despite possessing and reporting information that
23 directly conflicted with Plaintiff being "deceased."
24

25 166. It is wholly unreasonable to maintain procedures that allow blind reliance on
26 the information provided by the furnisher of the disputed information, especially when the
27
28

1 information provided by the furnisher conflicts with information the consumer reporting
2 agency knows to be true.

3
4 167. As a result of Experian and Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction,
5 Plaintiff has suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: stress, anxiety, financial
6 strain, mental anguish, sleepless nights, emotional distress, embarrassment, wasted time,
7 invasion of privacy, decreased creditworthiness, credit denial, and other damages
8 continuing in nature.

9
10 168. Experian and Trans Union's violations of the FCRA were willful and
11 knowing. Therefore, Experian and Trans Union are each individually liable to Plaintiff for
12 actual, statutory, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial. 15 U.S.C. §
13 1681n.

14
15 169. Alternatively, Experian and Trans Union's violations of the FCRA were
16 negligent. Therefore, Experian and Trans Union are each individually liable to Plaintiff for
17 statutory and actual damages. 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

18
19 170. In any event, Experian and Trans Union are each individually liable for
20 Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and costs. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n, 1681o.

21 **COUNT III**
22 **Experian's Violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681i**

23 171. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this
24 Complaint as though fully stated herein.

25 172. On or about May 1, 2022, Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate deceased notations
26 with Experian.
27
28

1 173. Under the FCRA, when a consumer reporting agency receives a dispute from
2 a consumer that indicates an item of information in their credit file is inaccurate or
3 incomplete, the consumer reporting agency is required to: conduct a reasonable
4 investigation of the disputed information and forward the dispute to the furnisher within
5 five days of its receipt. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i.

7 174. Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1) by failing to conduct a reasonable
8 investigation to determine whether the information it reported about Plaintiff was
9 accurate.
10

11 175. Upon information and belief, Experian continued to blindly rely on
12 inaccurate information provided by WebBank and Fingerhut despite possessing and
13 reporting information that directly conflicted with Plaintiff being “deceased.”
14

15 176. Upon information and belief, Experian had reason to know that the
16 information furnished by WebBank and Fingerhut was inaccurate or otherwise unreliable.

17 177. Experian possessed and reported information about Plaintiff that directly
18 contradicted with Experian’s reporting of Plaintiff as “deceased.”
19

20 178. Yet despite knowledge of the information’s unreliability, Experian made no
21 independent effort to verify its accuracy.

22 179. In fact, Experian even failed to confirm that the information it reported about
23 Plaintiff was consistent with the rest of his Experian consumer report.
24

25 180. Additionally, upon information and belief, Experian violated the FCRA by
26 failing to provide WebBank and Fingerhut with all the relevant information regarding
27 Plaintiff, his dispute, and the accounts. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A).
28

1 181. Furthermore, Experian violated the FCRA by failing to promptly delete the
2 inaccurate information from Plaintiff's credit file or correct the inaccurate information
3 upon reinvestigation. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A).
4

5 182. As a result of Experian's conduct, action, and inaction, Plaintiff has suffered
6 actual damages which have been further described above.

7 183. Experian's violations of the FCRA were willful and knowing. Therefore,
8 Experian is individually liable to Plaintiff for actual, statutory, and punitive damages in an
9 amount to be determined at trial. 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.
10

11 184. Alternatively, Experian's violations of the FCRA were negligent. Therefore,
12 Experian is individually liable to Plaintiff for statutory and actual damages. 15 U.S.C. §
13 1681o.
14

15 185. In any event, Experian is individually liable for Plaintiff's reasonable
16 attorney's fees and costs. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n, 1681o.

17 **TRIAL BY JURY**

18 186. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so
19 triable.
20

21 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

22 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JD Bennett, respectfully requests judgment be entered
23 against Defendants, for the following:
24

- 25 A. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681o and/or 1681n;
26 B. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681o and/or 1681n;
27 C. Punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
28

1 D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681o
2 and/or 1681n;

3 E. All pre-judgment and post-judgment interests as may be allowed
4 under the law; and
5

6 F. Any other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

7 Respectfully submitted this 6th day of July 2022.

8 /s/ Dawn McCraw

9 Dawn McCraw, WA # 54543

10 Of Counsel

The Consumer Justice Law Firm

11 8245 N. 85th Way

12 Scottsdale, AZ 85258

T: (818) 600-5585

13 F: (818) 600-5485

E: dawn@pricelawgroup.com

14 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

15 JD Bennett